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Abstract. Fluorescence imaging, in combination with tumor-avid near-infrared (NIR) fluorescent molecular probes, provides high specificity and sensitivity for cancer detection in preclinical animal models, and more recently, assistance during oncologic surgery. However, conventional camera-based fluorescence imaging techniques are heavily surface-weighted such that surface reflection from skin or other nontumor tissue and nonspecific fluorescence signals dominate, obscuring true cancer-specific signals and blurring tumor boundaries. To address this challenge, we applied structured illumination fluorescence molecular imaging (SIFMI) in live animals for automated subtraction of nonspecific surface signals to better delineate accumulation of an NIR fluorescent probe targeting \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \) integrin in mice bearing subcutaneous plasma cell xenografts. SIFMI demonstrated a fivefold improvement in tumor-to-background contrast when compared with other full-field fluorescence imaging methods and required significantly reduced scanning time compared with diffuse optical spectroscopy imaging. Furthermore, the spatial gradient mapping enhanced highlighting of tumor boundaries. Through the relatively simple hardware and software modifications described, SIFMI can be integrated with clinical fluorescence imaging systems, enhancing intraoperative tumor boundary delineation from the uninvolved tissue. © 2016 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.21.8.080502]
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Surgical resection of cancer is the primary treatment for accessible areas including breast and head and neck regions. Cancer is difficult to distinguish from adjacent nontumor tissues, resulting in inadequate margins of resection and a high rate of repeat operations.1 Surgical resection of tumors in the head and neck can be complicated by proximity to vital structures and could benefit from intraoperative fluorescent molecular imaging strategies.2,3

Fluorescence imaging is depth-limited due to signal attenuation from absorption, scattering, and background fluorescence such that even low levels of dye in overlying tissues can obfuscate tumor-specific contrast.4 Signal attenuation from surface weighting of fluorescence is compounded by even a few percent bleed-through of reflected excitation and ambient light.5 Eliminating these surface signals is necessary to improve sub-surface, cancer-specific fluorescence contrast and better define tumor boundaries. Therefore, we investigated structured illumination techniques for optical sectioning of surface and deep fluorescence signals to aid in oncologic surgery.

Optical sectioning with structured illumination, as demonstrated by Neil et al., uses fluorescence excitation in striped patterns to isolate in-plane versus out-of-plane fluorescence.6 Thus planar imaged light \( I_0 \) can be deconvolved into spatially modulated \( I_S \) and constant, unmodulated \( I_C \) components

\[
I_0 = I_S + I_C. \tag{1}
\]

When illumination patterns are sequentially phase-shifted by \( 2\pi/3 \), \( I_1, I_2, \) and \( I_3 \), \( I_0 \) and \( I_S \) can be deconvolved according to Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively,

\[
I_0 = (I_1 + I_2 + I_3)/3, \tag{2}
\]

\[
I_S = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sqrt{(I_1 - I_2)^2 + (I_2 - I_3)^2 + (I_3 - I_1)^2}. \tag{3}
\]

The peak-to-trough distance of the excitation pattern is equivalent to fixed source-detector separation of diffuse optical imaging,7,8 allowing for selective imaging of the desired fluorescence signal.9 Therefore, the nondepth-dependent signal coming from the tumor \( I_C \) can be isolated by subtracting the unwanted plane of the shallow signal \( I_S \) from total fluorescence signal \( I_0 \). In the planar reflectance image \( I_0 \), the unwanted background fluorescence \( I_S \) obscures the subcutaneous tumor signal \( I_C \). Extracting the \( I_C \) signal from the total \( I_0 \) signal elegantly isolates the tumor fluorescence.

Herein we describe structured illumination fluorescent molecular imaging (SIFMI) for enhancing tumor localization in a mouse model of solitary extramedullary plasmacytoma, which most commonly occurs in the head and neck region and expresses high levels of the \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \) integrin receptor.10 We compared SIFMI with conventional, uniform illumination planar fluorescence reflectance imaging (PFRI), planar fluorescence imaging normalized by reflectance (normalized),11 and diffuse optical spectroscopy imaging (DOSI).12

Structured illumination techniques such as spatial frequency domain imaging (SFDI) enable rapid measurement of tissue optical properties,13 mapping hemoglobin oxygenation,14,15 and assessing tissue perfusion16 in noncontact, reflection geometry. SFDI methods have produced improved contrast over...
conventional planar illumination imaging for improving spatial resolution in phantoms and tissues, but this is the first application of structured illumination for subsurface in vivo tumor-specific fluorescence contrast enhancement using an near-infrared (NIR) fluorescent tumor-targeted tracer. We demonstrate that SIFMI, in combination with tumor-selective fluorescent molecular probes, enhances contrast to better identify tumors and tumor boundaries in vivo, providing full fluorescence information and enabling better clinical decisions by the operator.

Structured illumination patterns were projected by digital micromirror device-based projector (DLP Lightcrafter 4500, Texas Instruments) using only the red light-emitting diode (LED) (624 ± 18 nm) for fluorescence excitation of the fluorescent molecular probe, LLP2A-Cy5 (peak λex/sem = 657/676 nm). An NIR-sensitive complementary metal oxide sensor (CMOS) camera (Firefly MV FMVU-03MTM-CS, Point Grey Research, Canada) captured images after excitation light was blocked by an optical bandpass filter (720 ± 20 nm, 720AF20, Omega Optical, Brattleboro, Vermont). The projector was positioned such that the offset projection uniformly illuminated the imaging platform over the camera field of view [Fig. 1(a)]. Pattern projection and image acquisition were controlled by customized MATLAB® (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts) code. Premade 8-bit grayscale sinusoidal fringe pattern images, each offset by 2π/3, were projected onto the subject using the red channel of the projector [Fig. 1(b)]. Images were acquired as 16-bit tagged image file format (TIFF) for each illumination pattern, followed by full-field illumination and no illumination (dark) captures. This routine was repeated with a neutral density emission filter in place of the bandpass filter for illumination reference. Initial studies were performed using silicone-based phantoms with optical properties similar to biological tissues and fluorescent inclusions. These studies indicated that fluorescence contrast enhancement was maximized when using a low-frequency sinusoidal pattern of 0.66 cm⁻¹, which was subsequently used for all in vivo animal studies.

All animal studies were conducted according to protocols approved by the Washington University Animal Studies Committee. Human multiple myeloma (U266) tumor xenografts were grown by subcutaneous injection of 10⁶ cells in the right flank of 8-week-old male NCR-nude mice (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, Massachusetts, n = 2). When tumors were 1 cm in maximum diameter, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (2% v/v in 100% O₂) and injected with 2.5-nmol LLP2A-Cy5 in 0.1-mL 4% dimethylsulfoxide in sterile water via the lateral tail vein. LLP2A-Cy5 accumulates in tumors expressing αβ integrin and has absorption and emission spectra suitable for our custom developed imaging system. Eighteen hours postinjection, mice were anesthetized and placed prone on the imaging platform of the SIFMI system [Fig. 1(a)]. Image processing and analysis were performed using NIH ImageJ and MATLAB®. Imaging was also performed using the Optix MX3 time-domain DOSI system (λex/sem = 670/695 nm) in a single point source-detector setup with raster scanning (3-mm separation).

Summation of the three phase-shifted fluorescence images using Eq. (2) resulted in I₀ [Fig. 2(a)], which was equivalent to planar fluorescence images acquired with uniform illumination. These I₀ images contained both tumor and background
fluorescence, showing high signal throughout the mouse body with the highest signal from the subcutaneous xenograft on the right flank. High signal was also present from the stomach and intestines, presumably from dietary sources. Demodulation of phase-shifted images with frequency-dependent characteristics according to Eq. (3), yielded the surface components in $I_S$ [Fig. 2(b)]. Further image analysis indicated true surface fluorescence signal was equal to twice the values in $I_S$ and subtraction of $2 \times I_S$ improved tumor isolation and reduced background fluorescence, correlating with ex vivo fluorescence measurements [Fig. 2(c)].

For comparison of SIFMI to other in vivo fluorescence imaging techniques, region-of-interest (ROI) analysis was performed for data acquired using uniform illumination PFRI, fluorescence/reflectance normalization, and DOSI. We first compared the fluorescence intensity spatial distributions obtained using the various methods [Fig. 3(a)]. Conventional PFRI imaging shows peak fluorescence signal intensity from within the tumor with a residual high background fluorescence throughout the mouse body.

Similarly, normalization of the reflectance-geometry fluorescence signal relative to excitation was not effective for enhancing tumor contrast. The DOSI images showed the fluorescence localized to the tumor, with background fluorescence limited to the scattered light traveling to the tissue adjoining the tumor. The SIFMI approach resulted in high signal from the tumor and a striped artifact pattern from incomplete modulated signal subtraction. Comparison of fluorescence intensities from the
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individual images [Fig. 3(c)] using the cross section shown in Fig. 3(b) demonstrated that the SIFMI approach had the highest signal from within the tumor as compared to the signal on either side of the tumor.

ROI analyses of fluorescence intensity values for tumor and nontumor regions followed the initial visual inspection with the PFRI and SIFMI having a high tumor signal [Fig. 3(e)]. SIFMI resulted in higher tumor contrast due to background subtraction, resulting in a fivefold improvement in the tumor-to-background ratio [Fig. 3(f)]. Optimization of projected patterns is needed to remove striping artifacts in the final image. SIFMI corrects for nonspecific surface signals to enhance tumor-specific contrast and can be extended to correct for light attenuation due to heterogeneities in surface colors due to pigmentation or blood. We further applied spatial gradient mapping to enhance tumor boundary display. The absolute value of the gradient [Fig. 3(d)] was compared for each method via spatial gradient maps, further demonstrating tumor intensity gradient of the SIFMI image was much higher than other methods, providing well-defined boundaries of the tumor [Fig. 3(g)].

The efficient SIFMI technique improves lateral resolution of tumor boundaries by separating in-focus (surface) and out-of-focus (diffusely scattered) signals, enabling rapid fluorescence imaging in wide-field reflection mode with depth sensitivity of DOSI. Further segmentation would require tomographic reconstruction methods. The use of striped patterns of different frequencies allows for a clear delineation between the tumor and the background fluorescence. In doing so, tumor contrast enhancement does not rely on arbitrary, user-defined thresholding, and the entirety of the fluorescence information remains visible to the operator, thus preserving detection sensitivity. SIFMI presents a clear cost-benefit advantage and will remain visible to the operator, thus preserving detection sensitivity. SIFMI presents a clear cost-benefit advantage and will remain visible to the operator, thus preserving detection sensitivity. SIFMI presents a clear cost-benefit advantage and will remain visible to the operator, thus preserving detection sensitivity.
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