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Abstract. The HJ-1A and HJ-1B satellites were launched successfully on September 6, 2008. For effective monitoring of the environmental and natural disasters, both HJ-1A and HJ-1B carry a charge-coupled device (CCD) sensor, with each CCD sensor containing two cameras, which results in a ground swath of about 700 km for each satellite. The CCD can make cross-track multiple view angle measurements with a field of view of >40 deg. The Earth’s surface can be covered completely within 48 h in four spectral bands from 0.43 to 0.90 μm. We have presented a method of extracting the hemispherical-directional reflectance factor (HDRF) from CCD imagery and normalizing HDRF to a standard geometric situation. After geometric correction and registration, radiometric calibration, and correction for atmospheric effects, multitemporal HDRFs were obtained for the flat land surface located in Northern China with different land cover types. The angular observations were extracted from a series of overpasses of the CCD aboard HJ-1A and HJ-1B. We then inverted the HDRFs by the semiempirical kernel-driven bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) model and normalized the HDRFs to nadir-viewing direction. This study shows the significance of directional effects in the HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCD data and the feasibility of normalizing HDRFs' CCD data when the angular effects must be taken into account. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.JRS.7.073466]
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1 Introduction

Researchers have indicated that the number and strength of extreme climate events and natural disaster events, such as storms, hurricanes, typhoons, floods, droughts, and tornadoes, have increased significantly over the past 10 to 20 years, and situations are expected to become more severe in the coming years.1 Chinese citizens face even more environmental challenges with the country’s rapid economic growth. For timely and effective monitoring of the environmental and natural disasters, a small satellite constellation (HJ-1), which is composed of two optical satellites (HJ-1A and HJ-1B) and one synthetic aperture radar (SAR) satellite (HJ-1C), was proposed. The HJ-1A and HJ-1B satellites were launched successfully on September 6, 2008.2

Each satellite carries a charge-coupled device (CCD) sensor which contains two identical cameras (CCD1 and CCD2). Detailed technical specifications of the cameras can be found
in Ref. 3. The CCD sensor has a pushbroom scanning mechanism similar to a high-resolution visible sensor (HRV) on the Satellite Pour l’Observation de la Terre (SPOT) platform. In order to provide imaging data over large areas, CCD1 and CCD2 offer an oblique viewing capability, with the view angle tilting $\pm 15 \, \text{deg}$ relative to the vertical, as shown in Fig. 1. The field of view (FOV) of CCD1 and CCD2 is relatively large, about 30 deg. As a result, the nominal variation of the view zenith angle for a given point on the Earth’s surface per overpass is from $-30$ to $+30 \, \text{deg}$, where a minus sign indicates view angles in the forward scatter direction and a plus sign indicates view angles in the backscatter direction. Taking into account the effect of the Earth’s surface curvature, view zenith angles of up to $+47 \, \text{deg}$ from the nadir have been sampled in this study. Observations from a single orbit were acquired at different viewing geometries relative to the source of illumination (the sun); some measurements were taken in the forward direction and some in the backward direction. For one optical satellite, the revisit cycle of the multispectrum CCD camera is 96 h. Because the HJ-1A and HJ-1B satellites are in the same orbit with a phase difference of 180 deg, the repeat cycle of the CCD sensor is reduced to $<48 \, \text{h}$. Therefore, the HJ-1A and HJ-1B satellite sensors have the capability of sampling the hemispherical-directional reflectance factor (HDRF) over a wide range of view zenith angle in a short time period in four spectral bands from 0.43 to 0.90 $\mu \text{m}$, which makes them attractive for detecting land cover changes, vegetation dynamics monitoring, and primary production estimates.

The variations of the sun–target–sensor geometry can cause large fluctuations in the time series of data acquired by the CCDs of HJ-1A and HJ-1B. The fluctuations may originate either from the changes in the atmospheric path or from the non-Lambertian behavior of a surface target. Roujean et al. demonstrated that the short-term variations in NOAA/AVHRR multitemporal data sets were essentially due to the surface bidirectional effects, while the atmospheric directional effects and other sources of fluctuation remain of lower amplitude. Surface directional reflectance effects have been observed experimentally by means of ground measurements by a number of researchers, establishing as a fact that most surfaces have a reflectance behavior far from Lambertian. Recent radiation transfer model intercomparison (RAMI) exercises in this area have shown the close relationship between the three-dimensional (3-D) geometry of natural surfaces and their angular reflectance properties.

This non-Lambertian behavior of surface targets can significantly alter the observed reflected radiance under different view directions and, thereby, can affect the detection of target temporal evolutions. Leroy and Roujean, Roujean et al., and Ba et al. demonstrated the evident existence of surface directional reflectance effects in NOAA/AVHRR multitemporal data sets. For the
To our knowledge, no pertinent research has been reported to investigate the BRDF effects using data sets acquired by HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCDs. The purpose of this study is to assess the magnitude of directional effects over flat land surface from the CCD data sets of HJ-1A and HJ-1B. In addition, if this magnitude is significant, our goal is to attempt to normalize HDRF to a standard sun–target–sensor geometry defined by the user. First, the preprocessing procedures of compositing the multitemporal BRDF data sets of the research area were briefly introduced. Then the semiempirical kernel-driven BRDF model and its inversion were presented. The performance of the model inversion was tested with the field experimental reflectance data. Finally, the anisotropy was analyzed with four images acquired by HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCDs over a flat rural region located in Northern China. The performance of the kernel-driven model inversion for these data sets was evaluated. The paper was closed with a brief conclusion and discussion of this study.

2 Data Sets and Methods

2.1 Study Area and Retrieval of Hemispherical-Directional Reflectance Factors

The area selected for this study lies in the southern part of Hebei Province, as shown in Fig. 2. The area is relatively flat and in a rural region, away from the urban areas. The land is planted mainly in wheat and corn. The selected images were obtained by the HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCDs during the period from July 2, 2009 to July 12, 2009. The time period coincided with the growing stage for corn and was kept short to ensure that the changes in the vegetation cover were small.

Fig. 2 Location of the study area.
The next step consisted of screening the cloudy images of the study area. The images would be rejected whenever they appeared cloudy by visual inspection or when the presence of clouds made it impossible to determine the exact location of the study area.

In total, four images were selected with a variety of scan angles, including forward and backward view angles (−47 to +47 deg). The collected images are level-2 products from the China Center for Resource Satellites. The solar/view zenith and azimuth angles of the images were then computed based on the metadata.

The calibration coefficients of the CCD’s four spectral bands are supplied together with the level-2 data to convert the digital number to radiance. Atmospheric corrections were then applied to the radiance images by using the Fast Line-of-sight Atmospheric Analysis of Spectral Hypercubes (FLAASH) module in the ENVI 4.7 software. The atmospheric correction for all images was based on a mid-latitude summer atmospheric model and rural aerosol Spectral Hypercubes (FLAASH) module in the ENVI 4.7 software. The atmospheric correction for all images was based on a mid-latitude summer atmospheric model and rural aerosol mixing ratio (390 ppm), as defined in the FLAASH. The FLAASH module was then executed to compute ground surface reflectance factors under the guidelines given in the FLAASH User’s Guide.17

After the computations of solar/view angles and reflectance factors of all 4 days’ data, the common regions in them were retrieved by using the layer stacking tool in the ENVI software. Because of the large FOV and high temporal resolution of HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCDs, a large common area was retrieved after the image compositing.

### 2.2 Kernel-Driven Model and its Inversion

The final step was to apply the semiempirical kernel-driven BRDF model to invert the multi-temporal atmospherically corrected directional reflectance factors to normalize them to user-defined sun–target–sensor geometry. In this paper, we used the linear kernel-driven model proposed by Wanner et al.,18 which has been validated19,20 and applied for MODIS land surface BRDF/albedo product.16 In this model, the BRDF is expanded into a linear sum of terms (the so-called kernels), characterizing different scattering modes. This can be generally described using the following expression:

\[
R(\theta_s, \theta_v, \varphi) = f_{\text{iso}} + f_{\text{vol}}k_{\text{vol}}(\theta_s, \theta_v, \varphi) + f_{\text{geo}}k_{\text{geo}}(\theta_s, \theta_v, \varphi),
\]

where \(k_{\text{vol}}\) and \(k_{\text{geo}}\) are the kernels (i.e., known functions of illumination and viewing geometry) which describe volume and geometric scatterings from the target, respectively, \(\theta_s\) is the solar zenith angle, \(\theta_v\) is the view zenith angle, \(\varphi\) is the relative azimuth of the solar and view directions, \(f_{\text{vol}}\) and \(f_{\text{geo}}\) are the weights for volumetric and geometric kernels, respectively, and \(f_{\text{iso}}\) is a constant corresponding to isotropic reflectance. In this study, the RossThick–LiTransit kernels combination was used as the BRDF model. This combination may give better results than the model currently used in the operational MODIS BRDF/albedo algorithm.21 The expressions of the kernels can be found in Ref. 21 and are not shown here.

To invert the kernel-driven model with \(m\) observations of HDRF (noted as \(y_{\text{obs}}(1), y_{\text{obs}}(2), \ldots, y_{\text{obs}}(m)\)), we used the following equation:

\[
y_{\text{obs}}(i) = A[i, 3] \times X[3] + \epsilon_i, (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m),
\]

where \(A[i, 3] = \begin{bmatrix} k_{\text{geo}}(i) & k_{\text{vol}}(i) \end{bmatrix}\) is determined solely by the sun–target–sensor geometry, \(X[3] = \begin{bmatrix} f_{\text{iso}} & f_{\text{geo}} & f_{\text{vol}} \end{bmatrix}\) is the parameter vector to be inverted, and \(\epsilon_i\) is the error term. Writing them in the matrix notation, we have the following:
Finally, the solution of the kernel parameters can be written as

\[
X[3] = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & f_{iso} \\
1 & f_{geo} \\
1 & f_{vol}
\end{bmatrix} = (A[m, 3] / A[m, 3])^{-1} \times A[m, 3] / Y_{obs}[m].
\]

With more than three uncorrelated multiangular observations, Eq. (3) can provide estimates of the three parameters. Using Eq. (1) in forward mode and the inverted parameters, the reflectance factor under the standard sun-target-sensor can be estimated.

## 3 Results and Discussion

First, the validation results of the linear semiempirical BRDF model with \textit{in situ} HDRF measurements are given. Next, the distributions of HDRFs for HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCD data are analyzed and inverted.

### 3.1 Validation of the Linear Semiempirical BRDF Model

The semiempirical model approach will be useful only if the models can be shown to be adequate, which demands that it be tested first. Surface HDRFs obtained \textit{in situ} is essential if we want to evaluate the models in isolation from the other confounding influences (e.g., the effects of the atmosphere) and in a relatively controlled manner. The data were acquired in 2004 at the China National Experimental Station for Precision Agriculture in Xiaktangshan County, which is located in Changping District, Beijing, China. The experimental site consisted mainly of winter wheat. An Analytical Spectral Devices FieldSpec Pro spectrometer (Analytical Spectral Devices, Boulder, Colorado) mounted on a goniometric instrument was used to measure the wheat canopy multiangular radiation. Multiangular measurements were carried out with viewing zenith angles from $-60$ deg (forward direction) to $+60$ deg (backward direction) at 10-deg intervals in the principal plane (PP, where the sun, the target, and the sensor are aligned in the same plane). Zhao et al.\cite{22} gave detailed information about this experiment.

In this study, HDRFs in two characteristic spectral bands of vegetation were used to invert the kernel-driven model: red (666 nm) and NIR (850 nm), with the solar zenith and azimuth angles being 36.3 and 177 deg, respectively. To test the performance of the model and its

![Fig. 3 Observed versus modeled HDRF distributions in PP for the red (a) and NIR (b) bands.](image-url)
inversion, we reconstructed the HDRFs by using Eq. (2) and the inverted parameters. Figure 3 shows the comparisons of observed and modeled (or reconstructed) HDRF profiles in PP. The root-mean-square error (RMSE) between observed and modeled HDRFs is 0.006 for the red band and 0.01 for the NIR band. For the measured HDRFs in the red band in Fig. 3(a), a local maximum value appears around the antisolar direction, called the hotspot effect. Modeled (or reconstructed) profiles of HDRFs adequately replicate this phenomenon. Overall, the model fits are considered very satisfactory, bearing in mind the likely sources of error in the measured reflectance factors and the approximations made in the model derivation.

3.2 Directional Effects of HJ-1A’s and HJ-1B’s CCD Data

As stated before, our study area lies in a flat and rural region, with relatively monotonous land cover types. After screening the cloud contaminated images between July 2, 2009 and July 12, 2009, we chose the image data from the following 4 days: July 2, July 3, July 9, and July 12. The preprocessed data for the blue band (band 1 in each sensor, 0.43 to 0.52 μm) are shown in Fig. 4. The values of these HDRFs are in the range [0.0309, 0.2122].

Next, Eq. (4) was used to invert the BRDF data sets. Equation (2) was used to normalize these BRDF values to the nadir view geometry with the solar zenith angle and the relative difference between solar and view azimuth angles being 20 and 0 deg, respectively. The normalized HDRFs and inverted model parameters are shown in Fig. 5. The normalized HDRFs [Fig. 5(a)] are still in the range [0.0309, 0.2122], ruling out the apparent failure of the BRDF model inversion. The distribution of isotropic model parameters \( f_{iso} \), Fig. 5(b) shows a similar pattern with the normalized HDRFs [Fig. 5(a)], consistent with the physical meaning that it

---

**Fig. 4** Images in the blue band of CCD for (a) 7/2, (b) 7/3, (c) 7/9, and (d) 7/12, 2009.
represents the reflectance factor observed at nadir with the sun at zenith. However, due to the
approximate nature of the kernels, determining what information is contained within the
parameters $f_{\text{vol}}$ and $f_{\text{geo}}$ is not straightforward. The problem lies in the fact that the volumetric
and geometric kernels are not necessarily orthogonal because volumetric and geometric effects
are not mutually exclusive.

To further analyze the anisotropy observed by the HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCDs and to evaluate
the performance of the kernel-driven model inversion, three land cover types were selected:
corn in the elongation stage (type 1), fallow farmland after the harvest of the winter wheat
(type 2), and a village of farmers (type 3). The cover types were determined according
to the false color composite map \[R4G3B2, \text{Fig. 6(a)}\] and historical land-use maps. The average
values of $5 \times 5$ pixels’ HDRFs in the blue band were used here to analyze their distribution
with the view zenith angles. These values were then used to invert the kernel-driven
BRDF model [Eq. (2)] so as to reconstruct the profiles under the same sun–target–sensor
geometry.

All three types of targets show distinct anisotropic reflectance distributions with the view
zenith angles, and the HDRFs in the backward directions generally are larger than those in
the forward directions. For type 3 [Fig. 6(d)], which has evident 3-D structures of buildings,
a clear hotspot phenomenon appears around the backscattering direction. Therefore, the direc-
tional effects in the HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCD data cannot be ignored. Similar non-Lambertian
features exist in the other three bands.

By inverting the kernel-driven BRDF model and reconstructing the profiles for these three
types [Figs. 6(b)–6(d)], we plotted the observed and modeled HDRFs together to evaluate the
inversion. The reconstructed HDRFs generally follow the patterns of the observed ones, although with some bias, especially for type 1 [Fig. 6(b)]. The RMSEs for types 1, 2, and 3 are 0.0136, 0.008, and 0.007, respectively.

4 Conclusions

The purpose of this study was to assess the magnitude of BRDF effects in HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCDs’ data over flat land surfaces, their dependence on land cover, and the possibility of correcting for these effects as part of the compositing process. The study area lies in Northern China and we used cloud-free CCD images over 10 days during the green period in 2009. All images were atmospherically corrected, coregistered, and resampled. Uniform sample sites representing dense and fallow cropland and buildings were chosen. The results showed that the typical BRDF effects exist in the HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCD data. Therefore, directional effects should be considered when comparing multitemporal CCD data under different view directions. Our study demonstrated the feasibility of inversion of the kernel-driven BRDF model to normalize HDRFs to the standard sun–target–sensor geometry after retrieving HDRFs from the multiday CCD data.

Even though the observed HDRFs and the reconstructed HDRFs generally show close agreement, bias still exists, which may be induced by the uncertainties of preprocessing data. For the atmospheric correction, while molecular scattering and ozone absorption effects may be corrected relatively easily with the climatologic data, water vapor and aerosol amounts are highly variable in space and time and, consequently, introduce errors. In addition, errors in the process of coregistering and resampling the multidays CCD data and the difference of spatial resolutions under different viewing angles can result in disagreements.
Much work remains to be completed to validate and evaluate the results in this study. The accuracy of retrieved HDRFs and inverted model parameters should be assessed carefully with the field and airborne experiments on the selected sites. The performance of the kernel-driven BRDF model should also be tested with more measured data, especially under limited sampling capabilities, such as HJ-1A and HJ-1B CCDs.
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